

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/943,690 08/31/2001 Jane Elizabeth Weier A01072 1268 21898 10/12/2004 EXAMINER ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY YOON, TAE H PATENT DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399 1714

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/943,690	WEIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tae H. Yoon	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status			-
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	<u>September 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date	450)
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>29 Sep. 2004</u>.</li> </ul>	5)  Notice of In 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/943,690

Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,639,012. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the flow aid of claim 2 encompasses a liquid component not being water and because the core/shell polymer would have different composition due to the different reactivity of allyl methacrylate and butyl acrylate of the examples.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 reciting "at least 5 weight percent of water" encompassing 100% of water for the liquid is confusing since it improperly broadens the scope of the claim 4 wherein "essentially no water" is recited and the examiner interprets said "essentially no water" being close to free of water.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,690

Art Unit: 1714

Page 3

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kemp (US 4,250,070) and Espiard et al (US 6,245,848) teach the instant bimodal polymeric systems, but polymeric particles would have the same

composition, PVC.

Process claims 6-10 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be

reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/October 7, 2004